数理解析研究所講究録
757巻 1991年 82-92

Remarks on propagation of singularities

筑波大学 数学系 梶谷 邦彦
K. Kajitani (Inst. of Math., Univ. of Tsukuba)

筑波大学 数学系 若林 誠一郎
S. Wakabayashi (Inst. of Math., Univ. of Tsukuba)

1. Introduction

The methods used in [5] and [6] are also applicable to the general studies of propagation of singularities both in Gevrey classes and in C^∞. In this note we shall show that it is sufficient to obtain microlocal basic *a priori* estimates in order to prove theorems on propagation of singularities.

Let $1<\kappa\le\infty$. When $1<\kappa<\infty$, we consider the problem in Gevrey classes $\mathcal{E}^{(\kappa)}$ (or the spaces $\mathcal{D}^{(\kappa)\prime}$ of ultradistributions). When $\kappa=\infty$, we consider the problem in $C^{(\infty)}$ (or $\mathcal{D}'$). We write $\mathcal{E}^{(\infty)}=C^\infty$ and $\mathcal{D}^{(\infty)\prime}=\mathcal{D}'$ formally. We denote by $WF_{(\kappa)}(u)$ the wave front set of u in $\mathcal{E}^{(\kappa)}$. Let $z^0=(x^0,\xi^0)\in T^*\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 0$ ($|\xi^0|=1$), and let W be a closed conic subset of $T^*\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 0$ such that $z^0\in W$. Moreover, let $\{\varphi_j^W(x,\xi)\}_{j=1,2,\cdots}$ be a family of real-valued symbols satisfying the following conditions: (i) $\varphi_j^W(x,\xi)$ is positively homogeneous of degree 0 and satisfies

$$|\varphi^{W(\alpha)}_{j(\beta)}(x,\xi)| \le C_j A_j^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}(|\alpha|+|\beta|)! \quad \text{for } x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ \xi\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ |\xi|=1 \quad \text{if } 1<\kappa<\infty,$$

$$|\varphi^{W(\alpha)}_{j(\beta)}(x,\xi)| \le C_{j,\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{for } x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ \xi\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ |\xi|=1 \quad \text{if } \kappa=\infty.$$

(ii) $\varphi_j^W(z^0) < 0$. (iii) There is a conic neighborhood $\mathscr{C}_0$ of z^0 such that for any conic nbd $\tilde{W}$ of W there is $j_0\in\mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$\{z\in\mathscr{C}_0;\ \varphi_j^W(z)\le 0\} \subset \tilde{W}\cap\mathscr{C}_0$ for $j\ge j_0$. When $1<\kappa<\infty$, we need another family $\{\psi_j^W(x,\xi)\}_{j=1,2,\cdots}$ of real-valued symbols which satisfies the following conditions: (iv) $\psi_j^W(x,\xi)$ is pos. homo. of deg. 0 and satisfies

$$|\psi^{W(\alpha)}_{j(\beta)}(x,\xi)| \le C_jA_j^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}(|\alpha|+|\beta|)! \quad \text{for } x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ \xi\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ |\xi|=1.$$

(i) $\psi_j^W(z)\ge\varphi_j^W(z)$ and $\psi_j^W(z)>0$ for $z\in\partial W\cap\mathscr{C}_0$. (vi) $\{z\in\mathscr{C}_0;\ \psi_j^W(z)\le 0\}\subset\mathscr{C}_0\cap W$. Define

$$\Lambda^\delta_{a,b,j}(x,\xi) \equiv \Lambda^\delta_{a,b}(x,\xi;\kappa,\varphi_j^W)$$
$$= a\psi(\xi)\varphi_j^W(x,\xi)<\xi>^{1/\kappa-\delta} + b\psi(\xi)\psi_j^W(x,\xi)<\xi>^{1/\kappa} \quad \text{when } 1<\kappa<\infty,$$

$$\Lambda^\delta_{a,b,j}(x,\xi) \equiv \Lambda^\delta_{a,b}(x,\xi;\infty,\varphi_j^W)$$
$$= a\psi(\xi)\varphi_j^W(x,\xi)\log<\xi> + b\log(1+\delta<\xi>) \quad \text{when } \kappa=\infty,$$

where $a,b,\varepsilon>0$, $0\le\delta\le 1$ and $\psi(\xi)\in\mathscr{E}^{(\kappa)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\psi(\xi)=1$ if $|\xi|\ge 1$ and $\psi(\xi)=0$ if $|\xi|\le 1/2$.

Assumptions

$(A\text{-}1)_\kappa$ $L(x,D)\equiv(L_{ij}(x,D))$: $N\times N$ matrix whose entries are properly supported Ψ.D.Ops in $\mathscr{E}^{(\kappa)}$.

$(A\text{-}2)_\kappa$ ($1<\kappa<\infty$) $\exists j_0\in\mathbb{N}$, $\exists\chi_k(x,\xi)\in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(k=1,2)$ s.t. '$\chi_k(x,\xi)$: pos. homo. of deg. 0 for $|\xi|\ge 1$, $\chi_k(z)=1$ near z^0 ($k=1,2$) and $\forall j\ge j_0$, $\exists a_0>0$, $\exists b_0>0$ s.t. $\forall a\ge a_0$, $\forall b\ge b_0$, $\exists\delta_0>0$ ($\delta_0\le 1$), $\exists\ell_k\in\mathbb{R}$ ($1\le k\le 3$), $\exists C>0$ satisfying

$$\|\chi_1(x,D)v\|_{\ell_1} \le C\{\|L_\Lambda(x,D)v\|_{\ell_2}+\|v\|_{\ell_1-1}+\|(1-\chi_2(x,D))v\|_{\ell_3}\}$$

if $v\in C_0^\infty$ and $0<\delta\le\delta_0$, where $L_\Lambda(x,D)={}^R(e^{-\Lambda})(x,D)L(x,D)\times(e^\Lambda)(x,D)$, $\Lambda(x,\xi)=\Lambda^\delta_{a,b,j}(x,\xi)$ and

$${}^R(e^{-\Lambda})(x,D)u(x) = \int\!\left(\int e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi}\, e^{-\Lambda(y,\xi)}u(y)dy\right)đ\xi.\text{'}$$

$(A\text{-}2)_\infty$ ($\kappa=\infty$) $\exists j_0\in\mathbb{N}$, $\exists\chi_k(x,\xi)\in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(k=1,2)$, $\exists\ell_k\in\mathbb{R}$ ($1\le k\le 3$)

s.t. "$\chi_k(x,\xi)$:pos. homo. of deg. 0 for $|\xi|\geq 1$, $\chi_k(z)=1$ near z^0 ($k=1,2$) and $^\forall j\geq j_0$, $^\exists a_0>0$, $^\exists\Lambda_1(x,\xi)\in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t. $|\Lambda_{1(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi)| \leq {}^\exists C_{\alpha,\beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{\delta'|\beta|-\rho'|\alpha|}\log(1+\langle\xi\rangle)$ with $0\leq\delta'<\rho'\leq 1$ and $^\forall a\geq a_0$, $^\exists b_0>0$, $^\exists\Lambda_2(x,\xi)\in S^0_{1,0}$ s.t. '$|\Lambda_{2(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x,\xi)| \leq {}^\exists C'_{\alpha,\beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{-|\alpha|}$ and $^\forall b\geq b_0$, $^\exists\delta_0>0$ ($\delta_0\leq 1$), $^\exists C>0$ satisfying

$$\|\chi_1(x,D)v\|_{\ell_1} \leq C\{\|L_\Lambda(x,D)v\|_{\ell_2}+\|v\|_{\ell_1-1}+\|(1-\chi_2(x,D))v\|_{\ell_3}\}$$

if $v\in C_0^\infty$ and $0<\delta\leq\delta_0$, where $\Lambda(x,\xi)=\Lambda^\delta_{a,b,j}(x,\xi)+\Lambda_1(x,\xi)+\Lambda_2(x,\xi)$.' "

Theorem 1. Assume that $(A\text{-}1)_\kappa$ and $(A\text{-}2)_\kappa$ are satisfied. If $u\in\mathcal{D}^{(\kappa)\prime}$, $z^0\notin WF_{(\kappa)}(Lu)$ and $^\exists\mathscr{C}$:conic nbd of z^0 s.t. $WF_{(\kappa)}(u)\cap\mathscr{C}\cap(W\setminus\{(x^0,\lambda\xi^0);\ \lambda>0\})=\emptyset$, then $z^0\notin WF_{(\kappa)}(u)$. Moreover, if $\kappa=\infty$, there is a conic nbd $\mathscr{C}_1$ of z^0 s.t. 'if $u\in\mathcal{D}'$ and $WF(Lu)\cap\overline{\mathscr{C}}=\emptyset$ and $WF(u)\cap\partial\mathscr{C}\cap W=\emptyset$ for some conic nbd $\mathscr{C}$ of z^0 with $\mathscr{C}\subset\subset\mathscr{C}_0$, then $z^0\notin WF(u)$.'

Remark. If $z^0\in\mathring{W}$, then Theorem 1 is obvious. Giving $\{\varphi_j^W(x,\xi)\}$ one may determine W so that $\{\varphi_j^W\}$ satisfies the condition (iii). If $W=\{z\in T^*\mathbb{R}^n;\ \varphi(z)\leq 0\}$ and $\varphi(x,\xi)$ is analytic ($1<\kappa<\infty$) and smooth ($\kappa=\infty$), then we can choose $\varphi_j^W(z)=\varphi(z)-1/j$ and $\psi_j^W(z)=\varphi(z)+1$.

Corollary 1 ([6]). Assume that $W=\{(x^0,\lambda\xi^0);\ \lambda>0\}$ and that $(A\text{-}1)_\kappa$ and $(A\text{-}2)_\kappa$ are satisfied. If $u\in\mathcal{D}^{(\kappa)\prime}$ and $z^0\notin WF_{(\kappa)}(Lu)$, then $z^0\notin WF_{(\kappa)}(u)$.

Corollary 2. Assume that $(A\text{-}1)_\kappa$ is satisfied. Let $\psi(x,\xi)\in C(T^*\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 0)$ be a real-valued function s.t. $\psi(x,\xi)$:pos. homo. of deg. 0, $\psi(z^0)=0$, and assume that $\exists\varphi\in\mathcal{E}^{(\kappa)}(T^*\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 0)$ s.t. $\varphi(x,\xi)$: pos. homo. of deg. 0, $\varphi(z^0)=0$, $\varphi(z)>\psi(z)$ for $z\in\mathscr{C}_0\setminus\{(x^0,\lambda\xi^0);\ \lambda>0\}$, $\varphi(x,\xi)$:analytic if $1<\kappa<\infty$, and $(A\text{-}2)_\kappa$ is satisfied, where $\mathscr{C}_0$:conic nbd of z^0, $\varphi_j^W(z)=\varphi(z)-1/j$ and $\psi_j^W(z)=\varphi(z)+1$. Then $z^0\notin WF_{(\kappa)}(u)$ if $z^0\notin WF_{(\kappa)}(Lu)$ and $\exists\mathscr{C}$:conic nbd of z^0 s.t. $\{z\in\mathscr{C};\ \psi(z)<0\}\cap WF_{(\kappa)}(u)=\emptyset$.

Remark. This corollary is a microlocal version of Holmgren's uniqueness theorem. When $\kappa=\infty$, we can obtain a little stronger results, corresponding to Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let Ω be a conic set in $T^*\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 0$, and assume that $(A\text{-}1)_\kappa$ are satisfied and $\exists\vartheta:\Omega\ni z\longmapsto\vartheta(z)\in T_z\Omega$:cont. and $\exists\{\mathscr{C}_z\}_{z\in\Omega}$: a family of closed convex cones s.t. $\mathscr{C}_z\subset\subset\{\delta z;\ \sigma(\vartheta(z),\delta z)>0\}\cup\{0\}$ $(\subset T_z\Omega)$, $\{\mathscr{C}_z\}_{z\in\Omega}$ is outer semi-cont. and $(A\text{-}2)_\kappa$ is valid for $\forall z^0=(x^0,\xi^0)\in\Omega$ $(|\xi^0|=1)$ if $\vartheta^0\in -\mathrm{int}(\mathscr{C}_{z^0}^{\sigma})$, $\sigma(r(z^0),\vartheta^0)=0$, $k>0$, $\varphi_j^W(z)=\varphi_k(z)-1/j$, $\psi_j^W(z)=\varphi_k(z)+1$, $\varphi_k(z)=\tilde{\varphi}_k(z)(1+\tilde{\varphi}_k(z)^2)^{-1/2}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_k(x,\xi)=\sigma(\vartheta^0,(x-x^0,\xi/|\xi|-\xi^0))+k(|x-x^0|^2+|\xi/|\xi|-\xi^0|^2)$, where $\sigma((\delta x,\delta\xi),(\delta y,\delta\eta))=\delta y\cdot\delta\xi-\delta x\cdot\delta\eta$, $r(x,\xi)=\sum_{j=1}^n\xi_j\partial/\partial\xi_j$, $\mathscr{C}^\sigma=\{\delta z;\ \sigma(\delta w,\delta z)\geq 0$ for $\forall\delta w\in\mathscr{C}\}$ and $\mathrm{int}(\mathscr{C})$:the interior of $\mathscr{C}$. If $u\in\mathscr{D}^{(\kappa)\prime}$, $z^0\in WF_{(\kappa)}(u)\cap\Omega$ and $WF_{(\kappa)}(Lu)\cap\Omega=\emptyset$, then $\exists a\in(-\infty,0]\cup\{-\infty\}$, $\exists\{z(t)\}_{t\in(a,0]}$:Lip. cont. curve in Ω s.t. $z(t)\in WF_{(\kappa)}(u)$ for $t\in(a,0]$, $(d/dt)z(t)\in\mathscr{C}_z\cap\{|\delta z|=1\}$ for a.e. $t\in(a,0]$, $z(0)=z^0$, and $\lim_{t\to a+0}z(t)\in\partial\Omega$ if $a>-\infty$, where $\partial\Omega$:the boundary of Ω in $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$.

Corollaries 1 and 2 are immediate consequences of Theorem 1. Theorem 2 follows from Corollary 2 of Theorem 1, applying the same arguments as in [5], [10] and [11]. We shall prove Theorem 1 in the case where $\kappa=\infty$ in §2. Theorem 1 for $1<\kappa<\infty$ can be proved in the same manner. In §3 we shall consider several examples, applying the results in §1.

2. Proof of Theorem 1 ($\kappa=\infty$)

We may assume that $u\in\mathcal{E}'\cap H^{s'}$ for some $s'\in\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathscr{C}_1$ be a conic nbd of z^0 s.t. $\mathscr{C}_1\cap\{|\xi|\geq 1\}\subset\subset\{\chi_1(x,\xi)=\chi_2(x,\xi)=1\}$. Assume that $WF(f)\cap\overline{\mathscr{C}}=\emptyset$ and $WF(u)\cap\partial\mathscr{C}\cap W=\emptyset$ for $^\exists\mathscr{C}$:conic nbd of z^0 with $\mathscr{C}\subset\subset\mathscr{C}_1$, where $f=Lu$. Choose $\chi(x,\xi)\in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that $\chi(x,\xi)$:pos. homo. of deg. 0 for $|\xi|\geq 1$, $\chi(z)=1$ near z^0, supp $\chi\cap\{|\xi|\geq 1\}\subset\subset\mathscr{C}_1$, $WF(f)\cap\text{supp }\chi\cap\{|\xi|\geq 1\}=\emptyset$ and $WF(u)\cap W\cap\text{supp }d\chi\cap\{|\xi|\geq 1\}=\emptyset$. Then $^\exists j\geq j_0$, $^\exists\varepsilon>0$ s.t. $(x,\xi)\notin WF(u)$ if $(x,\xi)\in\text{supp }d\chi$, $|\xi|\geq 1$ and $\varphi_j^W(x,\xi)\leq 2\varepsilon$. For a fixed $s>s'$ we can choose $a\geq a_0$ so that

$$a\varepsilon + \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\inf_{|\xi|\geq\lambda}\Lambda_1(x,\xi)(\log|\xi|)^{-1} > \ell_2 + m - 1 - s',$$

$$-a\varepsilon'/2 + \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\sup_{|\xi|\geq\lambda}\Lambda_1(x,\xi)(\log|\xi|)^{-1} < \ell_1 - s,$$

where $\varepsilon'=-\varphi_j^W(z^0)$ (>0). By the assumptions in $(A\text{-}2)_\infty$ $^\exists b_0>0$ and $^\exists\Lambda_2(x,\xi)\in S^0$ for each a. Choose $b\geq b_0$ so that

$$b>b(u)\equiv -a\inf\varphi_j^W(x,\xi) - \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\inf_{|\xi|\geq\lambda}\Lambda_1(x,\xi)(\log|\xi|)^{-1}$$
$$+\max\{\ell_2+m,\ell_1-1,\ell_3\}.$$

It follows from calculus of Ψ.D.Op. that $^\exists q(x,\xi)\in S^{\delta'-\rho'+d}_{\rho',\delta'}$ ($^\forall d>0$) s.t.

$$(e^\Lambda)(x,D)(1+q(x,D))^R(e^{-\Lambda})(x,D) = 1 \quad (\text{ mod } L^{-\infty}).$$

Put $v_\delta(x)\equiv v(x)=(1+q(x,D))^R(e^{-\Lambda})(x,D)\chi(x,D)u(x)$. Then $\chi u\equiv(e^\Lambda)(x,D)v(x)$ (mod $L^{-\infty}$) and

$$L_\Lambda(x,D)v\equiv {}^R(e^{-\Lambda})\chi f + {}^R(e^{-\Lambda})[L,\chi]u \quad (\text{ mod } L^{-\infty}).$$

Since $u\in C^\infty$ near $\{(x,\xi);\ \varphi_j^W(x,\xi)\leq 2\varepsilon,\ (x,\xi)\in\text{supp }d\chi \text{ and } |\xi|\geq 1\}$ and $a\varphi_j^W(x,\xi)+\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\inf_{|\xi|\geq\lambda}\Lambda_1(x,\xi)(\log|\xi|)^{-1}>\ell_2+m-1-s'$ if

$\varphi_j^W(x,\xi)\geq\varepsilon$, we have $\|L_\Lambda v\|_{\ell_2}\leq C$, where C is indep. of δ ($0<\delta\leq\delta_0$). Noting that $v\in H^{\max\{\ell_2+m,\ell_1-1,\ell_3\}}$ for $\delta>0$, we have $\|\chi_1(x,D)v\|_{\ell_1}$ $\leq C$, where C is indep. of δ ($0<\delta\leq\delta_0$). Therefore, $v_\delta\longrightarrow v_0$ weakly in H^{ℓ_1} as $\delta\downarrow 0$. This implies that $v_0\in H^{\ell_1}$. Since $\Lambda^0(x,\xi)\equiv$ $a\varphi_j^W(x,\xi)\log\langle\xi\rangle+\Lambda_1(x,\xi)+\Lambda_2(x,\xi)<(\ell_1-s)\log\langle\xi\rangle$ if $\varphi_j^W(x,\xi)\leq-\varepsilon'/2$ and $|\xi|>>1$, we have $u\in H^s$ at z^0. This proves Theorem 1 for $\kappa=\infty$.

Roles of e^Λ and ${}^R(e^{-\Lambda})$

(i) To reduce the problem in Gevrey classes (or C^∞) to the problem in the Sobolev spaces.

(ii) To deal with $[L,\chi]$, i.e., to neglect the term ${}^R(e^{-\Lambda})[L,\chi]u$ in ${}^R(e^{-\Lambda})L\chi u={}^R(e^{-\Lambda})\chi f+{}^R(e^{-\Lambda})[L,\chi]u$.

(iii) To change norms of u and Lu.

3. Applications of Theorems 1 and 2

3.1. Microhyperbolic operators

Let $1<\kappa\leq 2$, and let Ω be an open conic subset of $T^*\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 0$.

(L-1) $\exists m_1,\cdots,m_N,n_1,\cdots,n_N\in\mathbb{R}$, $\exists L^0(x,\xi)=(L_{ij}^0(x,\xi))$ and $\rho>0$ s.t. $L_{ij}^0(x,\xi)$:pos. homo. of deg. m_i+n_j, $L_{ij}(x,D)-L_{ij}^0(x,D)\psi(D)$ is a Ψ.D.Op. of order $m_i+n_j-\rho$ and $0<\rho\leq 1$ if $N>1$.

(L-2) $\exists\vartheta:\Omega\ni z\longmapsto\vartheta(z)\in T_z\Omega$:cont. s.t. $p(x,\xi)$ ($\equiv\det L^0(x,\xi)$) is microhyperbolic w.r.t. $\vartheta(z)$ at $z\in\Omega$, i.e., $\forall z^0\in\Omega$, $\exists\mathfrak{U}$:nbd of z^0 in $T^*\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 0$, $\exists\ell\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, $\exists c>0$ and $\exists t_0>0$ s.t. $|\sum_{j=0}^{\ell}(-it\vartheta(z^0))^j p(z)/j!|\geq ct^\ell$ for $z\in\mathfrak{U}$ and $0\leq t\leq t_0$.

(L-3) $\mu_0=\sup_{z\in\Omega}\mu(z)<\infty$, where $\mu(z^0)$ is the multiplicity of $p(z)$ at z^0, i.e.,

$$p(z^0+s\delta z) = s^{\mu(z^0)}(p_{z^0}(\delta z)+o(1)) \quad \text{as } s\to 0,$$

where $p_{z^0}(\delta z)\not\equiv 0$ in δz.

(L-4) $1 < \kappa \le \min\{2, \mu_0/(\mu_0-\rho)\}$, where $\kappa\le 2$ if $\mu_0\le\rho$.

<u>Theorem 3 ([5])</u>. Assume that $(A\text{-}1)_\kappa$ and (L-1)-(L-4) are satisfied. Then the conclusion of Theorem 2 is valid, taking $\mathscr{C}_{z^0}=\Gamma(p_{z^0},\vartheta(z^0))^\sigma$.

3.2. Symmetric hyperbolic systems

Let $1<\kappa\le\infty$, and let Ω be an open conic subset of $T^*\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 0$.

(D-1) $L_{ij}(x,D)$:properly supported Ψ.D.Op. of order 1 in $\mathscr{E}^{(\kappa)}$ and $\exists L^0(x,\xi)=(L^0_{ij}(x,\xi))$ s.t. $L^0_{ij}(x,\xi)$:pos. homo. of deg. 1 and $L_{ij}(x,D)-L^0_{ij}(x,D)\psi(D)$ is a Ψ.D.Op. of order $1/\kappa$, where $1/\kappa=0$ if $\kappa=\infty$.

(D-2) L is dissipative in Ω, i.e.,

$-i(L^0(x,\xi)-L^0(x,\xi)^*) \le 0$ for $(x,\xi)\in\Omega$.

(D-3) $\exists\vartheta:\Omega\ni z\longmapsto\vartheta(z)\in T_z\Omega$:cont. s.t.

$\operatorname{Re}((\vartheta L^0)(z^0)v,v) > 0$ for $\forall z^0\in\Omega$ and $\forall v\in\operatorname{Ker} L^0(z^0)\setminus\{0\}$.

<u>Lemma 4</u>. Under the assumptions (D-1)-(D-3), $p(x,\xi)\equiv \det L^0(x,\xi)$ is microhyp. w.r.t. $\vartheta(z^0)$ at z^0 ($\in\Omega$). Moreover, for $z^0\in\Omega$

$$\operatorname{Re}((\vartheta_x\cdot(\partial L^0/\partial x)(z^0)+\vartheta_\xi\cdot(\partial L^0/\partial\xi)(z^0))v,v) > 0$$

if $v\in\operatorname{Ker} L^0(z^0)\setminus\{0\}$ and $\vartheta=(\vartheta_x,\vartheta_\xi)\in\Gamma(p_{z^0},\vartheta(z^0))$.

<u>Theorem 5</u>. Assume that (D-1)-(D-3) are satisfied. Then the conclusion of Theorem 2 is valid, taking $\mathscr{C}_{z^0}=\Gamma(p_{z^0},\vartheta(z^0))^\sigma$.

<u>Remark</u>. When $\kappa=\infty$, Ivrii [3] proved results corresponding to Corollary 2 of Theorem 1 and Wakabayashi proved Theorem 5 in

[10]. Similarly we can prove results on wave front sets in the Sobolev spaces.

3.3. Effectively hyperbolic operators

From now on we consider the problems in C^∞ ($\kappa=\infty$). Let Ω be an open conic subset of $T^*\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 0$.

(E-1) $P(x,D)$:properly supported Ψ.D.Op. whose symbol is $P(x,\xi) \in S^m$, and $^\exists p(x,\xi)$:real-valued and pos. homo. of deg. m s.t. $P(x,\xi)-\psi(\xi)p(x,\xi)\in S^{m-1}$.

(E-2) $p(x,\xi)$:effectively hyperbolic in Ω, i.e., $^\exists \vartheta:\Omega\ni z\longmapsto\vartheta(z)\in T_z\Omega$:cont. s.t. $p(x,\xi)$:microhyp. w.r.t. $\vartheta(z)$ at $^\forall z\in\Omega$ and for $^\forall z^0\in\{z\in\Omega;\ dp(z)=0\}$ the fundamental matrix (Hamilton map) $F_p(z^0)$ has non-zero real eigenvalues, where

$$F_p(x,\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} p_{\xi x}(x,\xi) & p_{\xi\xi}(x,\xi) \\ -p_{xx}(x,\xi) & -p_{x\xi}(x,\xi) \end{pmatrix}.$$

<u>Theorem 6 ([7],[8])</u>. Assume that (E-1) and (E-2) are satisfied. Then the conclusion of Theorem 2 is valid, taking $\mathscr{C}_{z^0}=\Gamma(p_{z^0},\vartheta(z^0))^\sigma$.

<u>Remark</u>. In the theorem the curve $\{z(t)\}_{t\in(a,0]}$ near z^0 is one of two possible curves, which are limiting bicharacteristics of p, if $dp(z^0)=0$ (see [8] and [4]).

Following Melrose [7], we can assume that $z^0=(0;0,\cdots,0,1)$ ($\in\Omega$) and $p(x,\xi)=\xi_1^2-x_1^2\alpha(x,\xi')-\beta(x,\xi')$, where $\xi'=(\xi_2,\cdots,\xi_n)$, $\alpha(x,\xi')\geq c|\xi'|^2$ ($^\exists c>0$), $\beta(x,\xi')\geq 0$ and $\beta(0;0,\cdots,1)=0$. To prove Theorem 6 we choose

$$\Lambda_1(x,\xi) = \gamma\log(\sqrt{1+x_1^2\langle\xi_n\rangle} +x_1\langle\xi_n\rangle^{1/2}) \quad \text{near } z^0, \quad \Lambda_2(x,\xi) = 0,$$

where $\gamma>>1$. Finally, we have

$$\|\chi_1 v\|_{3/4} \le C\{\|P_\Lambda v\|_0+\|v\|_{-1/4}+\|(1-\chi_1)v\|_2\} \quad \text{if } v\in C_0^\infty,\ 0<\delta\le\delta_0.$$

3.4. Branching of singularities

Let us consider a special class of effectively hyperbolic operators. Let $z^0=(x^0,\xi^0)\in T^*\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 0$ ($|\xi^0|=1$).

(B-1) $P(x,D)=p_1(x,D)\psi(D)p_2(x,D)\psi(D)+Q(x,D)$:properly supported, classical Ψ.D.Op., $p_j(x,\xi)$:pos. homo. of deg. m_j ($j=1,2$) and $Q(x,\xi)\in S^{m_1+m_2-1}$.

(B-2) $p_j(z^0)=0$ ($j=1,2$) and $\{p_1,p_2\}(z^0)\neq 0$.

Let $\gamma_j=\{\gamma_j(t)\}_{-\delta\le t\le\delta}$ ($j=1,2$) be bicharacteristics of p_j s.t. $\gamma_j(0)=z^0$, where $\delta>0$, and put $\gamma_j^\pm=\{\gamma_j(t)\}_{0<\pm t\le\delta}$.

Theorem 7 ([2],[1]). Let $j=1$ or 2. Assume that

$$(-1)^{j+1}i \text{ sub } \sigma(P)(z^0)/\{p_1,p_2\}(z^0)-1/2 \notin \{0,1,2,\cdots\},$$

where $\text{sub } \sigma(P)(x,\xi)=Q_1(x,\xi)+(i/2)\sum_{k=1}^n (\partial^2/\partial x_k\partial\xi_k)(p_1p_2)(x,\xi)$ and $Q_1(x,\xi)$ is the principal symbol of $Q(x,D)$. Then $z^0\notin WF(u)$ if $u\in\mathcal{D}'$, $z^0\notin WF(Pu)$ and $\exists\mathcal{C}$:conic nbd of z^0 s.t. $WF(u)\cap\mathcal{C}\cap(\gamma_j\setminus\{z^0\})=\emptyset$.

Corollary. Assume that

$$i \text{ sub } \sigma(P)(z^0)/\{p_1,p_2\}(z^0)-1/2 \notin \{0,\pm1,\pm2,\cdots\}.$$

Then $z^0\notin WF(u)$ if $z^0\notin WF(Pu)$ and $\exists\ \mathcal{U}$:nbd of z^0 and $\exists\gamma^1,\gamma^2\in\{\gamma_1^+,\gamma_1^-,\gamma_2^+,\gamma_2^-\}$ s.t. $\gamma^1\neq\gamma^2$ and $WF(u)\cap\mathcal{U}\cap(\gamma^1\cup\gamma^2)=\emptyset$.

We may assume that $z^0=(0;0,\cdots,0,1)$ and $P(x,\xi)=x_1\xi_1+q(x',\xi')$, where $q\in S^0_{1,0}$ (see [1], [9]). Choosing $W=\{x'=0,\ \xi''=0\}$, and $\varphi_j^W(x,\xi)=|\xi''|^2\xi_n^{-2}+|x'|^2-1/j$ near z^0, we can apply Theorem 1 if $\text{Im } q_0(z^0)>-1/2$, where $\xi''=(\xi_1,\ \cdots,\xi_{n-1})$ and $q_0(x,\xi)$ is the principal symbol of $q(x,D)$. Therefore, we can prove

Theorem 7 for j=2 if Im $q_0(z^0)>-1/2$. Following Hanges [1], we can prove Theorem 7 (for j=2) in the case where Im $q_0(z^0)\leq -1/2$. In fact, $x_1^\ell P(x,D)u=(P(x,D)+i\ell)x_1^\ell u$. So, if $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$, Im $q_0(z^0)+\ell>-1/2$, $z^0\notin WF(Pu)$ and $WF(u)\cap\mathscr{E}\cap(\gamma_2\setminus\{z^0\})=\emptyset$, then $z^0\notin WF(x_1^\ell u)$. Assume that $z^0\notin WF(x_1^k u)$ for some k ($1\leq k\leq\ell$). Then we can write

$$x_1^{k-1}u = f_1 + (x_1+i0)^{-1}f_2 + \delta(x_1)\otimes g(x'),$$

where $z^0\notin WF(f_j)$ (j=1,2). We have also

$$x_1^{k-1}P(x,D)u = (P(x,D)+i(k-1))f_1 + D_1f_2 + (q+ik)\{(x_1+i0)^{-1}f_2+\delta(x_1)\otimes g(x')\}.$$

So we have $z^0\notin WF((q+ik)((x_1+i0)^{-1}f_2+\delta(x_1)\otimes g(x')))$. The assumptions in Theorem 7 imply that $iq_0(z^0)\notin\{1,2,3,\cdots\}$, i.e., q+ik is elliptic at z^0. Thus we have $z^0\notin WF(x_1^{k-1}u)$. Theorem 7 for j=1 can be reduced to the case where j=2.

References

1. N. Hanges, Parametrices and local solvability for a class of singular hyperbolic operators, Comm. in Partial Differential Equations 3 (1978), 105-152.
2. V. Ivrii, Wave fronts of solutions of symmetric pseudodifferential systems, Soviet Math. Dokl. 18 (1977), 540-544.
3. V. Ivrii, Wave fronts of solutions of symmetric pseudodifferential systems, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 20 (1979), 557-578.
4. N. Iwasaki, The Cauchy problem for effectively hyperbolic equations, Proc. Hyperbolic Equations and Related Topics, Taniguchi Symposium 1984, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, pp89-100.
5. K. Kajitani and S. Wakabayashi, Microhyperbolic operators in Gevrey classes, to appear.

6. K. Kajitani and S. Wakabayashi, Hypoelliptic operators in Gevrey classes, to appear.

7. R. Melrose, The Cauchy problem for effectively hyperbolic operators, Hokkaido Math. J. 12 (1983), 371-391.

8. T. Nishitani, On wave front sets of solutions for effecively hyperbolic operators, Sci. Rep. College Gen. Ed. Osaka Univ. 32 (1983), 1-7.

9. M. Taylor, Pseudodifferential Operators, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1981.

10. S. Wakabayashi, Singularities of solutions of the Cauchy problem for symmetric hyperbolic systems, Comm. in Partial Differential Equations, 9 (1984), 1147-1177.

11. S. Wakabayashi, Generalized flows and their applications, Proc. NATO Advanced Study Institutes on Advances in Microlocal Analysis, Series C, D. Reidel, 1986, pp363-384.